

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,862	12/12/2003	Gerald J. O'Connor	03-1088	1544
	7590 12/15/200 ING TECHNOLOGIES	EXAMINER		
300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			VEZERIS, JAMES A	
SUITE 3200 CHICAGO, IL	60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/15/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)					
10/734,862	O'CONNOR ET AL.					
Examiner	Art Unit					
JAMES A. VEZERIS	3693					
	10/734,862 Examiner	10/734,862 O'CONNOR ET AL. Examiner Art Unit				

	JAMES A. VEZERIS	3693					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10/15/2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 X he reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	on.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.198(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as				
	lianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	Eladithin two worth	a of the date of				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extel Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavt or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.							
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
/James A. Kramer/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3693	/JAMES A VEZERIS/ Examiner, Art Unit 3693						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Cha does not teach selecting a trading rule from a plurality of trading rules to be executed. Applicant further argues Cha preprograms the decision to execute a condition according to the ordering of the conditions and not from comparing a market price and a user set price. Examiner respectively disagrees with both of the applicant's assertions, In response to Cha being preprogrammed to execute a trading plan, rather than selecting a trading rule based on a comparison of an actual event value and an estimated event value, examiner price price price and 77. Cha teaches comparing the current price to the estimated values and only then executing the rules of trading strategy. Buying or selling are two possibilities based on the comparison. Examiner argues that the entirety of Cha teaches the first claim of the applicant's applicant on a very last a claim 52-12.

As per Applicants' arguments concerning the Official Notices, Applicants' attempt at traversing the Official Notice findings as stated in the previous Office Action is inadequate. Adequate traversal is in two step process. First, Applicants(5) must stat Notice infuringes and the record. Second, and in accordance with 37 C.F.R. \$1.11(b), which requires Applicants to specifically point out the supposed errors in the Office Action, Applicants must state with the Official Notice statements are not to be considered common knowledge upwell known in the art. In this application, while Applicants have clearly met step (1), Applicants have failed step (2) since they have failed to argue why the Official Notice statements are not to be considered common knowledge or well known in the art. See MPEP \$214.40 is